

Generalized Reverse Derivations On Closed Lie Ideals¹

Özge ATAY, Neşet AYDIN, and Barış ALBAYRAK

Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Department of Mathematics, ÇANAKKALE / TURKEY

e-mail: ozgee_atay@hotmail.com

Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Department of Mathematics, ÇANAKKALE / TURKEY

e-mail: neseta@comu.edu.tr

Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Department of Banking and Finance, ÇANAKKALE / TURKEY

e-mail: balbayrak77@gmail.com

Received 10 June 2018; Accepted 02 July 2018

Abstract: *In this study, we investigate commutativity of prime ring R with generalized reverse derivations F and G . Also, we proved that if L is a square closed Lie ideal, then L is contained in center $Z(R)$ under given conditions in theorems.*

Keywords: *Prime ring, Lie ideal, Reverse derivation, Generalized reverse derivation.*

2010 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 16N60; Secondary 16U80, 16W25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring with center $Z(R)$. Recall that R is prime if for any $x, y \in R$, $xRy = (0)$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. An additive mapping d from R into R is called derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. In [3], Brešar generalized concept of derivation as the following: An additive mapping F from R into R is called generalized derivation with associated derivation d if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. In [4], Brešar and Vukman introduced reverse derivation and in [1],

¹This study is the revised version of the paper (Generalized Reverse Derivations On Lie Ideal Of Rings) presented in the "2nd International Rating Academy Congress: Hope" held in Kepez / Çanakkale on April 19-21, 2018

Abuabakar and Gonzalez introduced generalized reverse derivation. Let d from R into R be an additive mapping. If $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, then d is called right reverse derivation. Let F from R into R be an additive mapping. If $F(xy) = d(y)x + yF(x)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, then F is called right generalized reverse derivation with associated reverse derivation d .

For any $x, y \in R$ denote the notation $[x, y]$ for commutator $xy - yx$ and $x \circ y$ for anti-commutator $xy + yx$. We use the following basic identities.

- $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$
- $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$
- $(xy) \circ z = x(y \circ z) - [x, z]y = (x \circ z)y + x[y, z]$
- $x \circ (yz) = (x \circ y)z - y[x, z] = y(x \circ z) + [x, y]z$

Let L be an additive subgroup of R . L is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[L, R] \subseteq L$. A Lie ideal L is said to be a square closed Lie ideal if $x^2 \in L$ for all $x \in L$.

In [5], Posner showed that two important properties of prime rings with derivation. In a prime ring R with $\text{char} R \neq 2$, if the iterate of two derivations is a derivation, then one of them is zero, and if d is a derivation and $[a, d(a)] \in Z(R)$ for all $a \in R$, then either R is commutative or d is zero. After that, several authors have proved commutativity theorems for prime rings with derivation and generalized derivation. Also many researchers have generalized results to ideals and Lie ideals of ring. In [2], Al-Omary and Rehman showed that if L is a square closed Lie ideal of prime ring with generalized derivation, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$ under several conditions.

In this study, we generalize previous studies on prime rings with reverse derivation. Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char} R \neq 2$, $F : R \rightarrow R$ be a nonzero right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. We study following conditions and prove $L \subseteq Z(R)$. (i) $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in L$. (ii) $F(x) \circ x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in L$. (iii) $F(x \circ y) - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (iv) $F[x, y] - x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (v) $[F(x), d(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (vi) $[F(x), F(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (vii) $F(x) \circ F(y) - x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (viii) $[F(x), F(y)] - x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (ix) $F(x) \circ F(y) - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (x) $[F(x), F(y)] - F[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (xi) $F(x) \circ F(y) - F(x \circ y) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (xii) $F[x, y] - [F(x), y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (xiii) $F[x, y] + [F(x), y] - [F(x), F(y)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (xiv) $F[x, y] - F(x) \circ y - [d(y), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

In addition, we investigate commutative property for two nonzero right generalized reverse derivations $F, G : R \rightarrow R$ with associated right reverse derivations $d, g : R \rightarrow R$ respectively. We study following conditions and prove $L \subseteq Z(R)$. (i) $[F(x), G(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (ii) $[F(x), x] - [x, G(x)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (iii) $F(x) \circ x - x \circ G(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (iv) $F[x, y] - [y, G(x)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. (v) $F(x \circ y) - y \circ G(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Well-known fact about prime rings:

Remark 2.1. *Let R be a prime ring. For an elements $a \in Z(R)$ and $b \in R$, if $ab \in Z(R)$, then $b \in Z(R)$ or $a = 0$.*

Remark 2.2. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}R \neq 2$ and L be a square closed Lie ideal of R . Then $2ab \in L$ for all $a, b \in L$.*

Lemma 2.3. [6, Lemma 2.6] *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of R . If L is a commutative Lie ideal of R , i. e., $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

Lemma 2.4. [7, Lemma 2.5] *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Then $Z(L) \subseteq Z(R)$.*

3. RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If $[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

Proof. Let $[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. Then $[r, [x, y]] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L, r \in R$. Replacing x by $2xy$, we get $0 = [r, [2xy, y]] = 2[r, [xy, y]]$ and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we have $[x, y][r, y] = 0$. Replacing r by rs for any $s \in R$, we find $[x, y]r[s, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L, r, s \in R$. Since R is a prime ring, we obtain

$$[x, y] = 0 \text{ or } [s, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in L, s \in R.$$

If $[s, y] = 0$, then $y \in Z(R)$ and satisfy condition $[x, y] = 0$. So, $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L$ in both cases. From the Lemma 2.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If $x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

Proof. Let $x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. Then $[r, x \circ y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L, r \in R$. Replacing x by $2xy$, we get $0 = [r, 2xy \circ y] = 2[r, xy \circ y]$ and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we have $(x \circ y)[r, y] = 0$. Replacing r by rs for any $s \in R$, we find $(x \circ y)r[s, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L, r, s \in R$. Since R is a prime ring, we obtain

$$x \circ y = 0 \text{ or } [s, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in L, s \in R.$$

Let $A = \{y \in L \mid x \circ y = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$ and $B = \{y \in L \mid [s, y] = 0 \text{ for all } s \in R\}$. A and B are additive subgroups of L whose $L = A \cup B$, but a group can not be written as a union of two proper subgroups of its and hence $L = A$ or $L = B$. If $L = A$, then $x \circ y = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Replacing y by $2yz$ for any $z \in L$ and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get $[x, y]z = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. In this equation, replacing z by $[z, r]$ for any $r \in R$ we find $[x, y][z, r] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in L, r \in R$. Again replacing r by rs for any $s \in R$, we get $[x, y]r[z, s] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in L, r, s \in R$. Since R is a prime ring, we obtain

$$[x, y] = 0 \text{ or } [z, s] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in L, s \in R.$$

If $[x, y] = 0$, then from the Lemma 2.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. If $[z, s] = 0$, then $z \in Z(R)$ for all $z \in L$ and $L \subseteq Z(R)$. If $L = B$, then $[s, y] = 0$ for all $s \in R, y \in L$. Hence, we obtain $y \in Z(R)$ for all $y \in L$ and $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2, 0 \neq F : R \rightarrow R$ be a right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation d and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

Proof. Let $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. Replacing x by $x + y$, we get

$$(1) \quad [F(x), y] + [F(y), x] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (1) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$d(z)[x, y] + [d(z), y]x + z[F(x), y] + [z, y]F(x) + [F(y), x]z + x[F(y), z] \in Z(R)$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (1), we have

$$d(z)[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Hence, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain $[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.1 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq F : R \rightarrow R$ be a right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation d and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If $F(x) \circ x \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

Proof. Let $F(x) \circ x \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. Replacing x by $x + y$, we get

$$(2) \quad F(x) \circ y + F(y) \circ x \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (2) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$(d(z)x) \circ y + (zF(x)) \circ y + F(y) \circ (xz) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (2), we have

$$d(z)(x \circ y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Hence, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain $x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.2 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq F : R \rightarrow R$ be a right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation d and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If one of the following conditions is satisfy, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

- i) $F(x \circ y) - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- ii) $F[x, y] - x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- iii) $[F(x), d(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proof. i) By hypothesis,

$$(3) \quad F(x \circ y) - [x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (3) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$F((x \circ y)z + x[z, y]) - x[z, y] - [x, y]z \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (3), we have

$$d(z)(x \circ y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Hence, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain $x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.2 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

ii) By hypothesis,

$$(4) \quad F[x, y] - x \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (4) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$F(x[z, y] + [x, y]z) - (x \circ y)z - x[z, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (4), we obtain

$$d(z)[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Hence, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have $[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.1 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

iii) By hypothesis,

$$(5) \quad [F(x), d(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing y by $2yz$ in Equation (5) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$[F(x), d(z)y + zd(y)] - [x, y]z - y[x, z] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (5), we get

$$d(z)[F(x), y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[F(x), y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by x in above expression, we obtain $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq F : R \longrightarrow R$ be a right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation d and*

L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If one of the following conditions is satisfy, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

- i) $[F(x), F(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- ii) $F(x) \circ F(y) - x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- iii) $[F(x), F(y)] - x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- iv) $F(x) \circ F(y) - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proof. i) By assumption,

$$(6) \quad [F(x), F(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (6) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we have

$$[d(z)x + zF(x), F(y)] - x[z, y] - [x, y]z \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (6), we obtain

$$d(z)[x, F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[x, F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by x in above expression, we get $[x, F(x)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

ii) By assumption,

$$(7) \quad F(x) \circ F(y) - x \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (7) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$(d(z)x + zF(x)) \circ F(y) - (x \circ y)z - x[z, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (7), we obtain

$$d(z)(x \circ F(y)) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$x \circ F(y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by x in above expression, we get $x \circ F(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.4 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

iii) By assumption,

$$(8) \quad [F(x), F(y)] - x \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (8) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we obtain

$$[d(z)x, F(y)] + [zF(x), F(y)] - xz \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (8), we get

$$d(z)[x, F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[x, F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by x in above expression, we have $[x, F(x)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

iv) By assumption,

$$(9) \quad F(x) \circ F(y) - [x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (9) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we obtain

$$(d(z)x \circ F(y)) + (zF(x) \circ F(y)) - x[z, y] - [x, y]z \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (9), we get

$$d(z)(x \circ F(y)) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$x \circ F(y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by x in above expression, we have $x \circ F(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.4 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq F : R \longrightarrow R$ be a right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation d and*

L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If one of the following conditions is satisfy, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

- i) $[F(x), F(y)] - F[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- ii) $F(x) \circ F(y) - F(x \circ y) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- iii) $F[x, y] - [F(x), y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proof. i) For all $x, y \in L$, let

$$(10) \quad [F(x), F(y)] - F[x, y] \in Z(R)$$

By hypothesis, $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. Then, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (10) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$[d(z)x, F(y)] + [zF(x), F(y)] - F([x, y]z) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using the fact that $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (10), we get

$$d(z)([x, F(y)] - [x, y]) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[x, F(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing x by $2d(z)y$ in above expression and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we obtain

$$d(z)[y, F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Again, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we get

$$[y, F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.3 we obtain $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

ii) For all $x, y \in L$, let

$$(11) \quad F(x) \circ F(y) - F(x \circ y) \in Z(R)$$

By hypothesis, $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. Then, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (11) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$(d(z)x + zF(x)) \circ F(y) - F((x \circ y)z + x[z, y]) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using the fact that $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (11), we have

$$d(z)(x \circ F(y) - x \circ y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$x \circ F(y) - x \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by $2yz$ in above expression and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$d(z)(x \circ y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Again, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$x \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.2 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

iii) For all $x, y \in L$, let

$$(12) \quad F[x, y] - [F(x), y] \in Z(R)$$

By hypothesis, $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. Then, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing y by $2yz$ in Equation (12) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$F([x, y]z + y[x, z]) - [F(x), y]z - y[F(x), z] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using the fact that $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (12), we have

$$d(z)[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.1 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq F : R \rightarrow R$ be a right generalized reverse derivation with associated right reverse derivation d and L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If one of the following conditions is satisfy, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

- i) $F[x, y] + [F(x), y] - [F(x), F(y)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- ii) $F[x, y] - F(x) \circ y - [d(y), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proof. *i)* By assumption,

$$(13) \quad F[x, y] + [F(x), y] - [F(x), F(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing y by $2yz$ in Equation (13) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$F[x, yz] + [F(x), yz] - [F(x), F(yz)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (13), for all $x, y \in L$ we obtain

$$d(z)[x, y] + zF[x, y] + [F(x), y]z - d(z)[F(x), y] - z[F(x), F(y)] \in Z(R)$$

and from this

$$d(z)([x, y] - [F(x), y]) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[x, y] - [F(x), y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by $2d(z)x$ in above expression and using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we have

$$d(z)[F(x), x] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Again, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we get

$$[F(x), x] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

ii) By assumption,

$$(14) \quad F[x, y] - F(x) \circ y - [d(y), x] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing y by $2yz$ in Equation (14) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we have

$$F[x, yz] - F(x) \circ yz - [d(yz), x] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (13), for all $x, y \in L$ we get

$$d(z)[x, y] + zF[x, y] - (F(x) \circ y)z - d(z)[y, x] - z[d(y), x] \in Z(R)$$

and from this

$$2d(z)[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.1 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, $0 \neq F, G : R \rightarrow R$ are right generalized reverse derivations with associated right reverse derivation d and g respectively, L be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R such that $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ and $g(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. If one of the following conditions is satisfy, then $L \subseteq Z(R)$.*

- i) $[F(x), G(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- ii) $F[x, y] - [y, G(x)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.
- iii) $F(x \circ y) - y \circ G(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proof. i) For all $x, y \in L$, let

$$(15) \quad [F(x), G(y)] - [x, y] \in Z(R)$$

By hypothesis, $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. Then, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing x by $2xz$ in Equation (15) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$[d(z)x, G(y)] + [zF(x), G(y)] - x[z, y] - [x, y]z \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using the fact that $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (15), we obtain

$$d(z)[x, G(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[x, G(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

Replacing y by x in above expression, we have $[x, G(x)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in L$. From the Lemma 3.3 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

ii) For all $x, y \in L$, let

$$(16) \quad F[x, y] - [y, G(x)] \in Z(R)$$

By hypothesis, $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. Then, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing y by $2yz$ in Equation

(16) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$F([x, y]z + y[x, z]) - y[z, G(x)] - [y, G(x)]z \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using the fact that $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (16), we get

$$d(z)[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.1 we obtain $L \subseteq Z(R)$.

iii) For all $x, y \in L$, let

$$(17) \quad F(x \circ y) - y \circ G(x) \in Z(R)$$

By hypothesis, $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$. Then, we choose fixed element $0 \neq z \in Z(L)$ which $d(z) \neq 0$. Also, $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ from the Lemma 2.4. Replacing y by $2yz$ in Equation (17) and using $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we get

$$F((x \circ y)z - y[x, z]) - (y \circ G(x))z - y[z, G(x)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

By using the fact that $z, d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Equation (17), we obtain

$$d(z)(x \circ y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

In this expression, using $0 \neq d(z) \in Z(R)$ and Remark 2.1, we have

$$x \circ y \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in L$$

From the Lemma 3.2 we get $L \subseteq Z(R)$. □

Example 3.10. Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ and $L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$, where \mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers. We define the mappings $F, d : R \rightarrow R$ as following:

$$F \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -x & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{pmatrix}, \quad d \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to show that, L is square closed Lie ideal of ring R , d is right reverse derivation and F is right generalized reverse derivation with associated d . Moreover, since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in Z(L)$ and $d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for any $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}$, condition $d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ is satisfied.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABUABAKAR A., GONZALEZ S., 2015 Generalized Reverse Derivations on Semiprime Rings, Siberian Math. Journal, 56(2), 199-205.
- [2] AL-OMARY R. M., REHMAN N., 2016 Lie Ideals and Centralizing Mappings with Generalized Derivations, Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, 11(3), 1-8.
- [3] BRESAR M., 1991, On the Distance of the Composition of Two Derivations to the Generalized Derivations, Glaskow Math. J., 33, 89-93.
- [4] BRESAR M., VUKMAN J., 1989, On some Additive Mappings in Rings with Involution, Aequation Math., 38, 178-185.
- [5] POSNER E., 1957, Derivations in Prime Rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8, 1093-1100.
- [6] REHMAN N., 2002, On commutativity of Rings with Generalized Derivations, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 44, 43-49.
- [7] REHMAN N, HANGAN, M. and AL-OMARY R. M., 2014, Centralizing Mappings, Morita Context and Generalized (α, β) -derivations, J. Taibah Univ. Sci., 8(4), 370-374.